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Abstract

Foundry sand used for molding should have specific properties so that molds with adequate strength, porosity, etc to produce defect free castings. Thus, characterization of two types of flotation tailings from the mineral processing of itabirites and three types of base sand for molding have been carried out. The resulting properties have been compared to evaluate the suitability of use of typical flotation tailing for the molding process. The main properties determined are the grain shape and structure, chemical composition, grain size distribution, fineness modulus, fines content, moisture content and loss on ignition. Furthermore other properties of these sands prepared with binders have been compared like compactability, permeability and green compressive strength for green sand. For cold set and sodium silicate/CO₂ processes properties like tensile strength and bending strength have been evaluated. The results show that the flotation tailing SA2 presented a good potential of use in the foundry.
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CARACTERIZAÇÃO DE REJEITOS DE FLOTAÇÃO DA SAMARCO E OUTRAS VARIEDADES DE AREIA PARA USO EM MOLDAGEM NA FUNDIÇÃO

Resumo

As areias de fundição usadas para moldagem devem apresentar propriedades específicas, como adequada resistência, porosidade, etc, para produzir peças livres de defeitos. Assim, foram caracterizados dois tipos de rejeitos de flotação do beneficiamento do itabirito e três tipos de areia-base para moldagem. Os resultados foram comparados para avaliar adequação do uso do rejeito de flotação no processo de moldagem. As principais propriedades determinadas foram: forma e estrutura dos grãos, composição química, distribuição granulométrica, módulo de finura, quantidade de finos, umidade e perda por calcinação. Ademais, outras propriedades dessas areias misturadas com aglomerantes foram comparadas, como compactabilidade, permeabilidade e resistência à compressão a verde para areia verde. Para os processos de areia com resina e silicato de sódio/CO₂ foram avaliadas as resistência à tração e à flexão. Os resultados mostraram que o rejeito de flotação SA2 apresentou bom potencial para uso na fundição.

Palavras-chave: Rejeito de flotação; Areia de moldagem; Fundição; Sustentabilidade.
I INTRODUCTION

Itabirite is a type of metamorphic rock, characterized by alternate layers of silica and iron oxide [1]. The main itabiritic ore processing plants in Brazil operate through reverse cationic flotation to produce hematitic concentrate as main product and a sandy material as flotation tailing, which are normally stored in the tailings dams. The alternatives for utilization of these flotation tailings from the itabirite processing can be considered as a strategy for waste utilization and to minimize harmful effects in respect of environmental aspects, besides contributing to reducing the amount of tailings stored in the dams, apart from economic advantages of waste utilization [2]. There are many other existing reuse practices, for these materials such as the manufacture of ceramics, use in pavements, and other applications [2-4]. In this work, use of these tailings as base sand for mold making in the foundry industry is investigated.

1.1 Molding Sand

The molding sand is basically composed of the base sand and some binder material like bentonite. Various varieties of sand have been used as mold materials in the foundry industry. Silica sand is the most commonly used mold material because of its abundance, low cost and high quality obtained for mold making [5].

In order to ascertain that sand is suitable for molding in the foundry industry, it is essential that sand characterization tests are carried out in a systematic manner. The main properties of sand that are important for its use in the molding process are: adequate permeability for gas flow, narrow range of granulometric distribution, high degree of refractoriness, proper grain shape, high erosion resistance and good mechanical strength [6,7].

There are few contributions related to this study in the literature. The objective of the present work is to carry out analytical and technological characterization of silica sands originating from itabirite mineral processing and different other sources in order to evaluate the possibility of the use of these varieties in commercial sand molding processes in the foundries in Brazil.

II METHODOLOGY

2.1 Materials

The sand samples characterized in this work are the following: Two sand samples from flotation tailings from Samarco, namely SA1 and SA2, two sand samples from an operating foundry company, namely N1 (virgin sand) and P1 (cold set used sand with phenolic-urethane resin) and a standard reference sand sample (ST). The results of the ST sand characterization tests were taken as reference standard for comparison of results obtained for SA1, SA2, N1 and P1.

2.2 Analytical Characterization

The methodology adopted for analytical characterization with the respective Committee of Studies on Raw Materials (CEMP) [8] standard and the equipment used for the tests are presented in the flow sheet in Figure 1.

2.3 Technological Characterization

The methodology adopted for technological characterization in laboratory scale with the binder content, the respective CEMP [8] standard and the equipment used is presented in the flow sheet in Figure 2.

In the cold set process, with phenolic-urethane resin, the tensile and bending strengths were carried out at the time intervals of 0h, 1h, 2h, 4h, 6h, 8h, 12h and 24h to evaluate the behavior of the mixture as a function of time. For the sodium silicate/CO₂ process the gassing time of this process was calculated in order to obtain the best performance in the tests [9].
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analytical and technological characterization of the sand samples are given below.

3.1 Analytical Characterization

Analysis of grain shape and structure are presented in Figure 3 and Table 1.

The SA1 and SA2 sands present angular and fractured grains. The ST, N1 and P1 sands present compact and subangular grains. According to Peixoto [10], the subangular grains are most suitable for making sand molds. A remaining resin layer from prior uses is observed on the surface of the P1 sand. The angularity and the fractures present in the sand grains SA1 and SA2 are due to the iron ore grinding procedures. Sand with such characteristics may result in weak molds and generate large amount of fines during molding.

The results of the X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy analysis is given in Table 2.

The SA1 and SA2 have SiO$_2$ contents 85.82% and 93.35%, respectively. The second frequently occurring compound in SA1 and SA2 sands is iron oxide, around 13.83% and 6.20%, respectively. The ST, N1 and P1 sands are composed of 98.81%, 98.29% and 82.48% of SiO$_2$, respectively. The P1 sand presents a considerable amount of Cr$_2$O$_3$ (10.22%).

The minimum silica content in sands varies according to the metal to be cast, and may be as low as 80% for lower melting alloys and above 90% for alloys of cast iron and steel [11]. The ST and N1 sands are the ones with the highest purity among the analyzed samples. P1 sand has a large amount of chromium oxide due to the chromite sand used in the facing of the castings.

Figure 4 shows the result of the granulometric distribution of the sands.

SA1 sand has a wide range of granulometric distribution, with material concentrations in several sieves. The SA2, ST, N1 and P1 sands present sand concentrations in few sieves. A wide particle size distribution, as in sand SA1, can cause problems of permeability and defects in the parts [7]. The other sands present a concentration of their grains above 70% in three consecutive sieves, as recommended by some authors [5,7,12].

Figure 2. Flow sheet summarizing the technological characterization methodology.

Table 1. Grain shape and structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>SA1</th>
<th>SA2</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Grain shape</td>
<td>Angular</td>
<td>Angular</td>
<td>Subangular</td>
<td>Subangular</td>
<td>Subangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of grains</td>
<td>Fratured</td>
<td>Fratured</td>
<td>Compact</td>
<td>Compact</td>
<td>Compact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy analysis of different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical species</th>
<th>SA1</th>
<th>SA2</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>85.82%</td>
<td>93.35%</td>
<td>98.29%</td>
<td>82.48%</td>
<td>98.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>13.83%</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>6.35%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.22%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>1.17%</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Optical microscopy images with 100x magnification of the SA1 (a), SA2 (b), N1 (c), P1 (d) and ST (e).

Figure 4. Granulometric distribution of the sands.
Table 3 shows the values of fineness modulus, fines content, moisture, permeability and loss on ignition of the samples.

The N1 and P1 sands have smaller fineness modules than the others, being classified as medium sand (50.47 AFS) and coarse sand (46.34 AFS), respectively. The SA2 and ST sands are classified as medium sands and have fineness modules of 68.17 AFS and 67.27 AFS, respectively. The SA1 sand features the finest fineness modulus, 165.45 AFS, being a very fine sand. The SA1, SA2, N1, P1 and ST sands have permeability of 8 cm g⁻¹ min⁻¹, 122 cm g⁻¹ min⁻¹, 161 cm g⁻¹ min⁻¹, 190 cm g⁻¹ min⁻¹ and 103 cm g⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively. The fine content of the sands SA1, SA2, N1, P1 and ST are, respectively, 61.52%, 0.95%, 2.97%, 0.59% and 0.64%.

Larger is the modulus of fineness of a sand, smaller is the average size of its grains, therefore the SA1 sand is the finest. The SA2 and ST sands present a similar average size of their grains, which also is the case with N1 and P1 sands. The permeability values are directly related to the average grain size and the fines content of the material. The SA1 sand has a low permeability value, (8 AFS), mainly due to its high content of fines. On the other hand, the N1 and P1 sands present higher permeabilities, as they have coarser grains and low content of fines. Permeability of the sand should be sufficient for flow of gases out of the mold and their minimum values vary according to the alloy to be cast [13]. This aspect is vital to produce defect free castings.

The moisture content of the sands SA1, SA2, N1, P1 and ST was, respectively, 0.05%, 0.04%, 0.03%, 0.20% and 0.02%. It is recommended that the humidity does not exceed values above 0.1% [14] in order to avoid defects in parts. The P1 sand (0.2%) was the only one that exceeded the stipulated value.

The loss on ignition (LOI) of sands SA1, SA2, N1, P1 and ST was 0.52%, 0.35%, 0.01%, 2.23% and 0.07%, respectively. The LOI should have the lowest possible value, but values of up to 2% are acceptable [15]. Almost all the sands had values of LOI below 1% with exception of P1 sand that presented 2.23%.

3.2 Technological Characterization

The results of the sands studied for green sand, cold set with phenolic-urethane resin and sand with sodium silicate/CO₂ processes are given below.

3.2.1 Green sand

The results of tests of green sand process samples are shown in Table 4.

The compactability of SA1, N1 and ST sands was 47% while SA2 was 48%. The value of the compactability of green sand is a measure that evaluates the conditions of its workability and its ideal value is 47% ± 1%, according to CEMP-68. All the sand samples were within the stipulated standards.

Table 4. Results of green sand sample tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SA1</th>
<th>SA2</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compactability/ %</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability/ AFS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green compressive strength/ N cm⁻²</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>13.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Results of tests with different bentonite contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SA2-5.0%</th>
<th>SA2-5.5%</th>
<th>SA2-6.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green compressive strength/ N cm⁻²</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The value of the green compressive strength with 5.0%, 5.5% and 6.0% of bentonite was 7.32N cm\(^{-2}\), 7.91N cm\(^{-2}\) and 8.74N cm\(^{-2}\). The increase from 5.0% to 5.5% in the bentonite content represented an increase of 8.06% of resistance (7.32N cm\(^{-2}\) to 7.91N cm\(^{-2}\)). When increased from 5.0% to 6.0%, the increase in resistance was 19.40% (7.32N cm\(^{-2}\) to 8.74N cm\(^{-2}\)). This result implies that the 1% increase in the amount of binder results in approximately 1% in the increase of resistance of sand SA2.

3.2.2 Cold set with phenolic-urethane resin

The result of tensile strength tests is represented in Figure 5.

The ST sand has the highest values in all intervals. On the other hand, sand SA1 has the lowest values of tensile strength. The SA2, N1 and P1 sands reached intermediate values. The N1 sand reached significant values in the first two hours and then their values were close to the P1 and SA2 sands. SA2 sand presented lower values when compared to ST.

The results for different resin additions to the SA2 sand, and the resulting tensile strength values in comparison to ST sand, are shown in Figure 6.

As the amount of binder in the SA2 sand (0.7%, 1.0% and 1.3%) is increased, the tensile strength of the blend also increases. It is observed that in most of the intervals the values of tensile strength of the sand SA2 with 1.3% of binder is near or some superior to that of sand ST. The increase in binder content from 0.7% to 1.0% represents an average increase in tensile strength values by 58% and increase of the same from 0.7% to 1.3% resulted in an average increase of 143% in tensile strength in the case of SA2.

Figure 7 shows the result of the bending strength of the sands.

The ST sand obtained the highest values of bending strength, while the SA1 sand has the lowest values. The N1 and SA2 sands presented very close values in the first 2 hours of the test, and, from this interval, the values of resistance of the former are higher than those of the latter. To evaluate the behavior of sands with organic binders, bending strength tests are the most widely applied for the quality control of casting sand [6]. When compared to P1 sand, SA2 sand presented higher values at most intervals.

The values of bending strength with increasing resin content in sand SA2 are shown in the Figure 8.

The increase of the resin content in the SA2 sand resulted in an increase of bending strength of the same. The result is satisfactory, when compared with the values obtained for ST sand. In most of the time intervals the sand with 1.3% of binder presents resistance values superior to ST sand. The sand with 1.0% binder shows values close to the ST sand in the first two intervals. The variation from
The SA2 and N1 sands reached close values, 25.97N cm\(^{-2}\) and 27.77N cm\(^{-2}\), respectively. With the variation of the sodium silicate content of the sand SA2 of 4.0% and 4.5%, the values of tensile strengths reached, respectively, 32.53N cm\(^{-2}\) and 40.77N cm\(^{-2}\). The increase in the sodium silicate content from 3.5% to 4.0% represents an increase in the tensile strength value of the SA2 sand of 25.3%. When the variation in content is from 3.5% to 4.5% the increase in strength is 57.0%.

The bending strength with standard content of sand SA1, SA2, N1 and ST reached the respective values of 65.50N cm\(^{-2}\), 67.83N cm\(^{-2}\), 55.17N cm\(^{-2}\) and 89.33N cm\(^{-2}\). By varying the silicate content in the SA2 sand in 4.0% and 4.5%, the respective resistance values were 74.00N cm\(^{-2}\) and 81N cm\(^{-2}\). The ST sand obtained the highest value of

\[\text{Resistance} = \text{ strength value of the SA2 sand of 25.3% When the variation in content is from 3.5% to 4.5% the increase in strength is 57.0%}.

\[
\text{The bending strength with standard content of sand SA1, SA2, N1 and ST reached the respective values of 65.50N cm}^{-2}, 67.83N cm}^{-2}, 55.17N cm}^{-2} \text{ and 89.33N cm}^{-2}.
\]

For the tensile strength test, with the binder standard content (3.5%), the highest value was obtained for ST sand (33.57N cm\(^{-2}\)) and the lowest for sand SA1 (19.4N cm\(^{-2}\)).

### 3.2.3 Sand with sodium silicate/CO\(_2\)

The results of tensile strength and bending strength tests with standard sodium silicate content (3.5%) are shown in Table 6.

In Table 7, the results of the variations of the sodium silicate content for strength and bending strength tests with the SA2 sand are given.

For the tensile strength test, with the binder standard content (3.5%), the highest value was obtained for ST sand (33.57N cm\(^{-2}\)) and the lowest for sand SA1 (19.4N cm\(^{-2}\)).

\[\text{Resistance} = \text{ strength value of the SA2 sand of 25.3% When the variation in content is from 3.5% to 4.5% the increase in strength is 57.0%}.

\[
\text{The bending strength with standard content of sand SA1, SA2, N1 and ST reached the respective values of 65.50N cm}^{-2}, 67.83N cm}^{-2}, 55.17N cm}^{-2} \text{ and 89.33N cm}^{-2}.
\]

For the tensile strength test, with the binder standard content (3.5%), the highest value was obtained for ST sand (33.57N cm\(^{-2}\)) and the lowest for sand SA1 (19.4N cm\(^{-2}\)).

\[\text{Resistance} = \text{ strength value of the SA2 sand of 25.3% When the variation in content is from 3.5% to 4.5% the increase in strength is 57.0%}.

\[
\text{The bending strength with standard content of sand SA1, SA2, N1 and ST reached the respective values of 65.50N cm}^{-2}, 67.83N cm}^{-2}, 55.17N cm}^{-2} \text{ and 89.33N cm}^{-2}.
\]

### Table 6. Test results of sand samples with sodium silicate/CO\(_2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SA1</th>
<th>SA2</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength/ N cm(^{-2})</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>25.97</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>33.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending strength/ N cm(^{-2})</td>
<td>65.50</td>
<td>67.83</td>
<td>55.17</td>
<td>89.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Results of the tests of the sand sample SA2 varying the levels of sodium silicate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SA2-3.5%</th>
<th>SA2-4.0%</th>
<th>SA2-4.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength/ N cm(^{-2})</td>
<td>25.97</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>40.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending strength/ N cm(^{-2})</td>
<td>67.83</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>81.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bending strength (89.33N cm\(^{-2}\)), even with the increase of the silicate content in sand SA2. With the variation of sodium silicate content for SA2 sand from 3.5% to 4.0% the bending strength increased by about 9.1% while for the 4.5% increase in sodium silicate the increase was 19.4%.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The characterization of a material with employment potential in the industry is one of the most important steps for its acceptance. The variation of the binder contents in relation to the reference value in the processes of cold set and sodium silicate/CO\(_2\) could be effective ways to make possible use of SA2 sand in the foundry industry.

The SA1 sand presented characteristics such as, wide particle size distribution, low permeability and high fines content, which shown that is not desirable for use as molding sand. The possibility to transform a waste material into an industrial product brings with it important concepts of sustainability. In addition, it is necessary to conduct bench scale tests with SA2 sand prior to application in the foundry industry.

According to internal data of Siderúrgica Itabirito-the referenced midsized company- it would be necessary about 60 tons of silica sand per month. Thus, the annual expenditure would be, approximately, 720 tons. In 2015, Samarco has produced 17.493.593 tons of flotation tailings. Since 12% of the total amount of flotation tailings can be used as foundry sand, available amount would be of around 2.100.000 tons.
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